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## Arboricultural Report: Beach House Grounds and Aquarena, Lower Brighton Road, Worthing, May 2010.

## 1. Summary.

The development proposal is the construction of a new swimming pool within the existing Aquarena pool site and adjacent public open space, Beach House Grounds.
Many of the existing trees were planted as part of landscape restoration works after the Great Storm of 1987 which devastated the previous mature tree parkland setting of Beach House, a Listed building of historical and cultural importance. New landscaping and planting proposals for the development will need to integrate this with the existing landscape and gives the opportunity for a notable juxtaposition of the modern with traditional landscape design.

## 2. Introduction.

Instructions have come from Wilkinson Eyre Architects, 24 Britton Street, London. EC1M 5UA.
The trees that could be affected have been surveyed and assessed with regard to the British Standard, Trees in relation to construction - Recommendations BS 5837:2005, the details of which are given in Appendix 1.
The purpose of this report is to inform the Architects and Worthing Borough Council on the impact of a proposed Planning Application to construct a new swimming pool on the trees within and around the site of the existing Aquarena and Public Open Space, Beach House Grounds.
The following information is included:

- A schedule of relevant trees including basic data and an assessment using BS 5837:2005 criteria.
- An appraisal of the impact on trees and associated local amenity.
- Guidance on appropriate tree protection measures for retained trees.


## 3. Location.

The site is owned by Worthing Borough Council and is presently occupied by the Aquarena, Worthing's public swimming pool, a paddling pool and the grounds of Beach House, managed as Public Open Space with associated car parking areas.
The Aquarena has a small landscape element at the north side in the form of a rockery but now containing three, probably self set small trees. The paddling pool has a narrow belt of young screening trees again on the north side together with one prominent mature tree adjacent.

Beach House Grounds has a healthy stock of young and mature trees giving a typical parkland landscape of standard trees over grass. Beach House itself is surrounded by a mixed shrub border containing some trees on the north east side. The south side of the park borders the beach and promenade.
There are three main groups of trees in addition to two notable veteran Holm Oak trees within the car park and in the highway both of which have significant defects:

- West of Beach House, predominantly young trees probably planted to replace losses in the Gale of 1987, within which there are some notable mature survivors. The species reflect the prevailing salt laden south westerly winds from The Channel, only a few hundred metres to the south, and comprise exposure tolerant Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus), Common Ash (Fraxinus excelsior), Holm Oak (Quercus ilex) and Corsican Pine (Pinus nigra var. Maritima) with occasional Horse Chestnut (Aesculus hippocastanum) and Common Lime (Tilia Xeuorpaea).
- East of Beach House, groups, again predominantly young Ash and Sycamore.
- South East of Beach House, a sparse group of mature Sycamore and Holm Oak together with a linear belt of young trees screening Peter Pans Playground managed by a concessionaire.


## 4. The Survey.

The height and spread of the trees has been estimated, the survey has used existing tree management software which records tree girths as categories only, giving an indication of their estimated girth class and therefore their size, this will need to be refined to give Root Protection Areas should any development proceed under appropriate Planning Conditions. Exact location plans of the proposals have not been seen and the assessment is therefore made on the basis of indicative drawings and landscape plans which have been available. Refinements will therefore need to be made as the project progresses.
The tree quality categories from the British Standard and used in this report are as follows:

- Category B - Trees of moderate quality and value, expected to make a significant contribution for a minimum of 20 years.
- Category C - Trees of low quality and value but which could be retained for a minimum of 10 years to allow new planting to establish, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm .
- Category R - Trees, trees in such a condition that any existing value would be lost within 10 years or should in context be removed for reasons of sound arboricultural management.
- Sub category 1, mainly arboricultural values.
- Sub category 2, mainly landscape values.
- Sub category 3, mainly cultural, historic and conservation values.

5. Arboricultural Implication Assessment.
5.1 Table 1: Summary of Impact on trees.


| Potential Loss <br> for re-modelling <br> of access and <br> car parking | Highway Tree <br> Car Park Tree | N/A | C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 5.2 Detailed Impact Assessment

### 5.2.1 The Aquarena

The Aquarena paddling pool site is screened from the highway and car park with a narrow belt of young Holm Oak, Corsican Pine and Thorn trees (15761594). The young trees are developing well and are a significant feature now to the locality. They have been downgraded from Category A trees because of their relatively small size and graded as B2 because of their group value in a prominent public location.
The group falls within the indicative entrance to the building and the retention of even some individuals would not be practical and fit into the proposed new layout and landscaping.
The one mature Sycamore (1594) shows good vitality, is large and prominent but has been graded as a B3 tree because of its slightly impaired condition showing some dieback at the top of the crown consistent with its exposed position and previous storm damage. It is a surviving tree from the previous groups which surrounded Beach House.
The existing landscape mound at the front of the Aquarena has the form of a rockery but has long since lost its landscape quality. The three trees present (1573-1575) on the top have probably been self set from seed and although now developing into significant small trees are growing too closely to the adjacent wall and would have to be removed in any case to prevent damage.

### 5.2.2 Beach House Grounds

The trees within Beach House Grounds are not directly affected by the construction and their retention will depend upon agreed landscape proposals to provide an appropriate setting for the innovative fluid form of the building and associated paths and connections with the landscape. The linear blocks of screening trees along the existing Peters Pans Playground would not be appropriate to these and the relatively small size of the trees would make their replacement with semi-mature specimens in a more sympathetic layout relatively easy. They have however been assigned B2 status because of their prominence and group value and some groups at the western end could well be retained where they fit in the design. The larger mature Sycamores (09450, 1081, 1068, and 1067) again will have to be considered on their merits to the design but they are in a reasonable condition for their exposed location and with appropriate pruning could make
a significant contribution to amenity as mature features for over 20 years. They must also have additional historical value as survivors of previous gales which devastated the mature treed parkland setting of Beach House.
The tree groups to the east and west of Beach House make a significant and maturing contribution to both the park and the setting of the Listed building, Beach House. They appear to be outside the direct impact of the proposals and are generally in a young mature stage of their life with a long safe, useful life expectancy. It would appear that they are of a similar age and planted to replace losses in the Great Storm of 1987.

## 6. Future tree planting.

Replacement and new landscape planting will have to take account of the difficulty in establishing trees in this exposed maritime location; the species palette will therefore be limited to those tolerant species such as are found existing in the locality. The site also has significant cultural and historical value as the setting for the Listed Building and the existing young and developing young maturing tree groups were planted as part of landscape restoration works after the Great Storms of 1987 and 1990.

## 7. Root Protection.

The root protection areas of retained trees will need to be considered in relation to new surfacing, pathway construction and landscaping, method statements, design details and site supervision will need to be agreed in due course.




|  |  | Inspection Count: 107 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inspection Count: 20 |  |  |  |
| Inspected by | Inspected on | Condition |  |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Comments |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Average | Category R |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Average | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |
| Peter Whish | $26 / 05 / 2010$ | Good | Category B3 |


| 1587 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 4.0 | 4 | Small | Peter Whish | 26/05/2010 | Good | Category B3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1588 | Pinus nigra | 350-600 | 4.0 | 3 | Small | Peter Whish | 26/05/2010 | Good | Category B3 |
| 1589 | Crataegus laevigata | 350-600 | 4.0 | 4 | Small | Peter Whish | 26/05/2010 | Good | Category B3 |
| 1590 | Crataegus laevigata | 350-600 | 4.0 | 4 | Small | Peter Whish | 26/05/2010 | Good | Category B3 |
| 1592 | Pinus nigra | 350-600 | 5.0 | 4 | Small | Peter Whish | 26/05/2010 | Good | Category B3 |
| 1593 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 350-600 | 4.0 | 3 | Small | Peter Whish | 26/05/2010 | Good | Category B3 |
| 1594 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 1200-1500 | 12.0 | 10 | Large | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B3, B1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park: |  | BEACH HOUSE GROUNDS |  |  |  |  |  | Inspection Count: 87 |  |
| Tree No. | Species | DBH (mm) | Height (M) | Spread (M) | Size | Inspected by | Inspected on | Condition | Comments |
| 09446 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 600-900 | 6.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 09447 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 350-600 | 3.0 | 2 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Average | Category B2 |
| 09448 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 350-600 | 7.0 | 8 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 09449 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 5.0 | 4 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Poor | Category R |
| 09450 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 1200-1500 | 11.0 | 10 | Large | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Poor | Category C |
| 09451 | Fraxinus excelsior | 600-900 | 0.5 | 0 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category C2 |
| 09452 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 600-900 | 8.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 09453 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 600-900 | 8.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 09454 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 600-900 | 8.0 | 8 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |


| Large | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| Large | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Average | Category B3 |
| Large | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| Large | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| Large | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category A3 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Average | Category B1 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Medium | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
|  | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |


| 1021 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 600-900 | 10.0 | 6 | Medium | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1022 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 3.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1023 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 3.0 | 4 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Average | Category B1 |
| 1025 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 3.0 | 4 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1026 | Pinus nigra | 600-900 | 7.0 | 6 | Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1027 | Pinus nigra | 600-900 | 7.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1028 | Pinus nigra | 600-900 | 7.0 | 5 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1029 | Pinus nigra | 600-900 | 8.0 | 5 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1030 | Pinus nigra | 600-900 | 8.0 | 5 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1031 | Quercus ilex | 1500+ | 12.0 | 10 | Large | Peter Whish | 19/05/2010 | Reasonable-needs monitoring | Category B3 |
| 1032 | Pinus nigra | 350-600 | 7.0 | 5 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1033 | Pinus nigra | 350-600 | 8.0 | 6 | Small | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1034 | Fraxinus excelsior | 350-600 | 6.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1035 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 900-1200 | 10.0 | 10 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Excellent | Category A2 |
| 1037 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 350-600 | 7.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1038 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 350-600 | 8.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1039 | Fraxinus excelsior | 350-600 | 7.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1040 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 350-600 | 7.0 | 7 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Average | Category B3 |
| 1041 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 6.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1042 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 900-1200 | 11.0 | 10 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category A3 |


| 1043 | Fraxinus excelsior | 350-600 | 8.0 | 9 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1044 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 350-600 | 7.0 | 6 | Small | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 1045 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 900-1200 | 11.0 | 9 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Average | Category B2 |
| 1046 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 1500+ | 12.0 | 10 | Large | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Average | Category B2 |
| 1048 | Fraxinus excelsior | 600-900 | 10.0 | 6 | Medium | Peter Whish | 17/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 1050 | Quercus ilex | 1500+ | 10.0 | 10 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Reasonable-needs monitoring | Category C1 |
| 1051 | Fraxinus excelsior | 900-1200 | 9.0 | 6 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| 1052 | Fraxinus excelsior | 900-1200 | 10.0 | 7 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| 1053 | Fraxinus excelsior | 900-1200 | 9.0 | 8 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| 1054 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 900-1200 | 10.0 | 9 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| 1055 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 900-1200 | 8.0 | 7 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| 1056 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 350-600 | 7.0 | 4 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| 1057 | Fraxinus excelsior | 600-900 | 6.0 | 6 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Average | Category A2 |
| 1058 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 900-1200 | 10.0 | 10 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| 1059 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 900-1200 | 10.0 | 9 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| 1060 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 600-900 | 8.0 | 5 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category A2 |
| 1061 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 600-900 | 8.0 | 5 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |


| 1062 | Acer pseudoplatanus |  | 4.0 |  |  | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Average | Category B2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1063 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 350-600 | 6.0 | 4 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 1065 | Sorbus aria |  | 1.0 |  |  | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category C |
| 1066 | Sorbus aria |  | 1.0 |  | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category C |
| 1067 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 1200-1500 | 11.0 | 10 | Large | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1068 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 1200-1500 | 11.0 | 10 | Large | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Reasonable-needs monitoring | Category B1 |
| 1070 | Pinus nigra |  | 2.0 | 2 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Average | Category C |
| 1076 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 600-900 | 8.0 | 5 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B1 |
| 1078 | Populus x canescens | 600-900 | 77.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 1080 | Acer pseudoplatanus | 600-900 | 10.0 | 6 | Large | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Poor | Category C |
| 1081 | Quercus ilex | 900-1200 | 6.0 | 9 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Average | Category B2 |
| 1082 | Pinus nigra | 600-900 | 7.0 | 5 | Medium | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 1083 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 6.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 1084 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 6.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 1085 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 2.0 | 3 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Average | Category C |
| 1086 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 3.0 | 2 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Average | Category C |
| 1087 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 2.0 | 2 |  | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Poor | Category C |
| 1088 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 5.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 1089 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 5.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |
| 1090 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 2.0 | 2 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Poor | Category C |
| 1091 | Quercus ilex | 350-600 | 4.0 | 5 | Small | Peter Whish | 24/05/2010 | Good | Category B2 |

